

The Corporation of the Town of LaSalle


**Audit Findings Report
for the year ending
December 31, 2025**

KPMG LLP

Licensed Public Accountants

Prepared as of May 29, 2026 for presentation to Town Council on June
23, 2026

kpmg.ca/audit



KPMG contacts

Key contacts in connection with this engagement



Katie denBok

Lead Audit Engagement Partner

519-660-2115

kdenbok@kpmg.ca



Emily Pellarin

Audit Manager

519-660-2601

epellarin@kpmg.ca



Table of contents

Digital use information

This Audit Findings Report is also available as a “hyper-linked” PDF document.

If you are reading in electronic form (e.g. In “Adobe Reader” or “Board Books”), clicking on the home symbol on the top right corner will bring you back to this slide.



Click on any item in the table of contents to navigate to that section.

4	Highlights	6	Status	7	Risks and results	8	Policies and practices
10	Misstatements	11	Control deficiencies	12	Audit Quality	15	Independence
16	Appendices						



Audit highlights



No matters to report



Matters to report – see link for details

Status

We have completed the audit of the consolidated financial statements (“financial statements”), with the exception of certain remaining outstanding procedures, which are highlighted on the ‘Status’ slide of this report.



Risks and results & Significant unusual transactions



Significant risks



- Risk of management override of controls



Other risks of material misstatement



Going concern matters




Significant unusual transactions

Policies and practices



Accounting policies and practices



Other financial reporting matters



Materiality

Group materiality has been based on prior year’s total revenues (\$98,418,330).

Materiality	\$3,120,000
Performance Materiality	\$2,340,000
Audit Misstatement Posting Threshold	\$156,000

Misstatements - uncorrected



Uncorrected misstatements

Misstatements - Corrected



Corrected misstatement



Refer to slide 10 for details, as well as the Management Representation letter in Appendix C.

Control deficiencies



Significant deficiencies



Audit Quality



Learn more about how we deliver audit quality



Independence




Annual Statement of Compliance





Technology highlights

We have utilized technology to enhance the quality and effectiveness of the audit.





Status


As of May 29, 2026, we have completed the audit of the financial statements, with the exception of certain remaining procedures, which include:

- Performance of audit procedures over the following:
 - Contributed asset job cost testing
- Completion of procedures over note disclosures and financial statements tie out
- Finalizing our review and tie-out of note disclosures
- Receipt of legal letter response from external legal counsel
- Completion of final partner and manager review
- Completing our discussions with Council
- Obtaining evidence of Council's approval of the financial statements
- Completing subsequent events procedures, up to the date of approval of the financial statements
- Receipt of the signed management representation letter

We will update Council, and not solely the Chair, on significant matters, if any, arising from the completion of the audit, including the completion of the above procedures.

A draft of our auditor's report is provided in Appendix B: Draft Auditor's Report.

KPMG Clara for Clients (KCc)



Real-time collaboration and transparency

We leveraged **KCc** to facilitate real-time collaboration with management and provide visual insights into the status of the audit!

On our audit we used KCc to coordinate requests with management.

[Learn more](#)



Significant risks and results

We highlight our significant findings in respect of **significant risks**.



Fraud Risk: Management override of controls

RISK OF

FRAUD

Significant risk	Estimate?	Why is this important?
The risk of material misstatement due to fraud resulting from management override of controls is a presumed risk for all entities under Canadian Auditing Standards (“CAS”). We have not identified any specific areas which highlight this risk over the course of our audit.	No	Management is in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of its ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Although the level of risk of management override of controls will vary from entity to entity, the risk nevertheless is present in all entities

Our response

- The risk resides with management’s ability to perpetrate fraud because of the ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively.
- We performed procedures, including testing of journal entries and other adjustments, performed a retrospective review of estimates and evaluated the business rationale of significant unusual transactions, if any.

Findings

No significant issues have been identified as a result of the procedures.

Significant accounting policies and practices



Initial selection of significant accounting policies and practices

There were no new significant accounting policies and practices selected during the period.



Description of new or revised significant accounting policies and practices

There were no revised accounting policies during the year.



Significant qualitative aspects

Discussion about qualitative aspects of significant accounting policies and practices

- *Evaluation & Conclusion*: Our judgments about the quality, not just the acceptability, of the Town's significant accounting policies as applied in its financial reporting, including such matters as the consistency of the Town's accounting policies
- *Appropriateness*: No matters to report.
- *Management bias*: No matters to report.
- *Estimates*: No matters to report.
- *Effect on the financial statements or disclosures*: No matters to report.

Other financial reporting matters

We also highlight the following:



Financial statement presentation - form, arrangement, and content



The presentation and disclosure included in the financial statements is in accordance with the required standards as disclosed in the notes to the financial statements.



Concerns regarding application of new accounting pronouncements



No matters to report.



Significant qualitative aspects of financial statement presentation and disclosure



No additional matters relating to the Town's financial statement presentation and disclosure have been identified.

Corrected misstatements

Corrected and uncorrected misstatements include financial presentation and disclosure misstatements.



Impact of corrected misstatements

- Discuss the effect on the financial reporting process
 - During our testing over depreciation, we identified that there were four Work in Progress (“WIP”) transfers that had been fully depreciated. As a result, this led to an understatement in capital and an overstatement in depreciation expense. KPMG proposed an adjusting entry to the depreciation expense, which was subsequently recorded by management. Refer to the management representation letter in Appendix C.
 - We noted that the long-term debt interest expense related to Fire Station 2 was classified within Salaries, wages and benefits as opposed to Administrative expenses. As such, a correcting entry was made to reclassify the amount to the appropriate caption within the Segmented Schedule disclosure. Refer to the management representation letter in Appendix C.



Control deficiencies

Consideration of internal control over financial reporting (ICFR)



In planning and performing our audit, we considered ICFR relevant to the Entity's preparation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on ICFR.

Our understanding of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described above and was not designed to identify all control deficiencies that might be significant deficiencies. The matters being reported are limited to those deficiencies that we have identified during the audit that we have concluded are of sufficient importance to merit being reported to those charged with governance.

Our awareness of control deficiencies varies with each audit and is influenced by the nature, timing, and extent of audit procedures performed, as well as other factors. Had we performed more extensive procedures on internal control over financial reporting, we might have identified more significant deficiencies to be reported or concluded that some of the reported significant deficiencies need not, in fact, have been reported.

A deficiency in internal control over financial reporting



A deficiency exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent or detect misstatements on a timely basis. A deficiency in design exists when (a) a control necessary to meet the control objective is missing or (b) an existing control is not properly designed so that, even if the control operates as designed, the control objective would not be met. A deficiency in operation exists when a properly designed control does not operate as designed, or when the person performing the control does not possess the necessary authority or competence to perform the control effectively.

Significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting



A deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting that, in our judgment, is important enough to merit the attention of those charged with governance.



Control deficiencies

Significant deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting

Description	Status	Potential effects	Recommendation
No additional review over entries prepared by Supervisor of Accounting	Management has implemented a monthly review control whereby the Deputy Treasurer reviews journal entries prepared by the Supervisor of Accounting. Evidence of this review was observed during the year, with the exception of December 2025. For December 2025, evidence of review was not documented at the time the year-end trial balance was finalized for financial reporting purposes. Management noted that formal sign-off was deferred pending completion of the audit and any resulting adjustments.	In the absence of timely documented independent review, there is an increased risk that errors or inappropriate journal entries, including those resulting from management override, may not be identified and corrected prior to finalization of the trial balance used for financial reporting.	To ensure the control operates effectively, evidence of review (e.g., sign-off or electronic approval) should be completed and retained on a timely basis, prior to finalization of the trial balance used for financial reporting. Where subsequent audit adjustments arise, these should be subject to a separate documented review to maintain a clear and complete audit trail of oversight.

Control deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting

Description	Status	Potential effects	Recommendation
Lack of appropriate review over amortization of newly capitalized WIP assets	Control deficiency has not been remedied as of date of report.	Without proper review over newly capitalized WIP assets, there is an increased risk of understating capital assets and overstating depreciation expense due to premature write-downs	Implement a review control over WIP transfers and amortization to ensure useful lives are appropriately assessed, supported, and approved prior to recording.



Our commitment to delivering audit quality

We define 'audit quality' as being the outcome when:

- audits are **executed consistently**, in line with the requirements and intent of **applicable professional standards** within a strong **system of quality management**; and
- all of our related activities are undertaken in an environment of the utmost level of **objectivity, independence, ethics and integrity**.

KPMG is committed to fulfilling our public interest role in providing robust assurance that can benefit investors and other stakeholders.

Businesses are integrating technology in ways once unimaginable. Geopolitical changes and inflationary pressures continue to drive uncertainty, and businesses need to take action to respond to societal threats like climate change.

The pace and scale of change only strengthens our resolve to ensure the quality, consistency and adaptability of our services are fit for this new future. Audit and assurance quality remains the highest priority at KPMG.

Through sustained innovation, we aim to consistently deliver superior audit quality. Across the global organization:

- KPMG firms have implemented a consistent risk-based approach to our system of quality management to drive audit and assurance quality, enabling us to meet the requirements of the International Standard on Quality Management 1 (ISQM 1).
- We are utilising powerful technologies on audit and assurance engagements, including artificial intelligence, and leveraging our alliances with technology leaders such as Microsoft to further enhance quality and provide even more value through deeper analysis of businesses, no matter their size.
- We believe the same level of rigour, quality, consistency and trust that is applied to financial statement information by companies should also apply to ESG reporting. Therefore, across the global organization we have deployed an assurance methodology, KPMG Clara workflow and learning tools to upskill and build teams to provide assurance on ESG reporting that helps our clients build a more sustainable future.

We encourage you to read our Transparency Report to learn more about our system of quality management and our firm's statement on the effectiveness of our SoQM:



[KPMG Canada Transparency Report](#)



How do we deliver audit quality?

Quality essentially means doing the right thing and remains our highest priority. We have strengthened the consistency and robustness of our system of quality management to meet the requirements of ISQM 1 (CSQM 1), issued by the International Audit and Assurance Standards Board. Foundational for quality management, KPMG's globally consistent approach to ISQM 1 drives compliance with the standard and our efforts to strengthen trust and transparency with clients, the capital markets and the public we serve.

Aligned with ISQM 1 (CSQM 1), our SoQM meets the requirements of the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants (IESBA) and the relevant rules of professional conduct / code of ethics applicable to the practice of public accounting in Canada, which apply to professional services firms that perform audits of financial statements.

Our **Global Quality Framework** outlines how we deliver quality and how every KPMG professional contributes to its delivery.



'Perform quality engagements' sits at the core, along with our commitment to continually monitor and remediate to fulfil our quality drivers.



Our **quality value drivers** are the cornerstones to our approach underpinned by the **supporting drivers** and give clear direction to encourage the right behaviours in delivering audit quality.




Doing the right thing. Always.



The KPMG Audit

Globally consistent audit and assurance methodology and tools

As a scalable, intuitive cloud-based platform, KPMG Clara is driving globally consistent execution across all KPMG member firms. It enables delivery of KPMG audit and assurance methodologies through data-enabled workflows, which align with the applicable audit and assurance standards and provide an improved experience to audit and assurance professionals.





Independence

As a firm, we are committed to being and being seen to be independent. We apply the following ethical requirements, including independence requirements, in:

- the rules of professional conduct / code of ethics applicable to the practice of public accounting issued by various professional accounting bodies in Canada ("CPA code") that are relevant to audits of financial statements of reporting issuers or listed entities; and
- the International Code of Ethics for Professional Accountants (including International Independence Standards) issued by the International Ethics Standards Board for Accountants ("IESBA independence rules") that are relevant to audits of financial statements of public interest entities.

The following processes and procedures have been established by the firm to ensure independence is maintained:



Dedicated ethics & independence partners



Process for reporting breaches of professional standards and policy, and documented disciplinary policy



Ethics, independence and integrity training for all staff



International proprietary system used to evaluate and document threats to independence and those arising from conflicts of interest



Operating policies, procedures and guidance contained in our quality & risk management manual



Mandated procedures for evaluating independence of prospective audit clients



Restricted investments and relationships



Annual ethics and independence confirmation for staff

Statement of Compliance

We confirm that, as of the date of this communication, **we are in compliance** with relevant ethical requirements regarding independence in Canada.



Appendices

A

Required
communications

B

Draft auditor's report

C

Management
representation letter

D

Changes in
accounting standards

E

Insights

F

Technology





Appendix A: Other required communications



Engagement terms

A copy of the engagement letter and any subsequent amendments has been provided to Council



CPAB communication protocol

The reports available through the following links were published by the Canadian Public Accountability Board to inform Audit Committees and other stakeholders about the results of quality inspections conducted over the past year:

- [CPAB Regulatory Oversight Report: 2023 Annual Inspections Results](#)
- [CPAB Audit Quality Insights Report: 2024 Interim Inspections Results](#)
- [CPAB Regulatory Oversight Report: 2024 Annual Inspections Results](#)
- [CPAB Audit Quality Insights Report: 2025 Interim Inspections Results](#)



Appendix B: Draft auditor's report

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

To the Members of Council, Inhabitants and Ratepayers of the Corporation of the Town of LaSalle

Opinion

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation of the Town of LaSalle (the Entity), which comprise:

- the consolidated statement of financial position as at December 31, 2025
- the consolidated statement of operations and accumulated surplus for the year then ended
- the consolidated statement of changes in net assets for the year then ended
- the consolidated statement of cash flows for the year then ended
- and notes to the financial statements, including a summary of significant accounting policies

(Hereinafter referred to as the “financial statements”).

In our opinion, the accompanying financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Entity as at December 31, 2025, and its consolidated results of operations and accumulated surplus, its consolidated changes in net assets and its consolidated cash flows for the year then ended in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards.

Basis for Opinion

We conducted our audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards. Our responsibilities under those standards are further described in the “***Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements***” section of our auditor's report.

We are independent of the Entity in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to our audit of the financial statements in Canada and we have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

Responsibilities of Management and Those Charged with Governance for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in accordance with Canadian public sector accounting standards, and for such internal control as management determines is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

In preparing the financial statements, management is responsible for assessing the Entity's ability to continue as a going concern, disclosing as applicable, matters related to going concern and using the going concern basis of accounting unless management either intends to liquidate the Entity or to cease operations, or has no realistic alternative but to do so.

Those charged with governance are responsible for overseeing the Entity's financial reporting process.

Auditor's Responsibilities for the Audit of the Financial Statements

Our objectives are to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion.

Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards will always detect a material misstatement when it exists.

Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with Canadian generally accepted auditing standards, we exercise professional judgment and maintain professional skepticism throughout the audit.

We also:

- Identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our opinion.

The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control.

- Obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Entity's internal control.
- Evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management.
- Conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Entity's ability to continue as a going concern. If we conclude that a material uncertainty exists, we are required to draw attention in our auditors' report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify our opinion. Our conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of our auditors' report. However, future events or conditions may cause the Entity to cease to continue as a going concern.
- Evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.
- Communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that we identify during our audit.
- Obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business activities within the Group Entity to express an opinion on the financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and performance of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

- Plan and perform the group audit to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding the financial information of the entities or business units within the group as a basis for forming an opinion on the group financial statements. We are responsible for the direction, supervision and review of the audit work performed for the purposes of the group audit. We remain solely responsible for our audit opinion.

Chartered Professional Accountants, Licensed Public Accountants

London, Canada

DRAFT

DRAFT



Appendix C: Management representation letter

(Letterhead of Client)

KPMG LLP
1400-140 Fullarton Street
London, Ontario N6A 5P2
Canada

Date

We are writing at your request to confirm our understanding that your audit was for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the consolidated financial statements (hereinafter referred to as “financial statements”) of The Corporation of the Town of LaSalle (“the Entity”) as at and for the period ended December 31, 2025.

General:

We confirm that the representations we make in this letter are in accordance with the definitions as set out in [Attachment I](#) to this letter.

We also confirm that, to the best of our knowledge and belief, having made such inquiries as we considered necessary for the purpose of appropriately informing ourselves:

Responsibilities:

- 1) We have fulfilled our responsibilities, as set out in the terms of the engagement letter dated January 28, 2026, including for:
 - the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements and believe that these financial statements have been prepared and present fairly in accordance with the relevant financial reporting framework.
 - providing you with all information of which we are aware that is relevant to the preparation of the financial statements (“relevant information”), such as financial records, documentation and other matters, including:
 - the names of all related parties and information regarding all relationships and transactions with related parties;
 - the complete minutes of meetings, or summaries of actions of recent meetings for which minutes have not yet been prepared, of shareholders, board of directors and committees of the board of directors that may affect the financial statements. All significant actions are included in such summaries.
 - providing you with unrestricted access to such relevant information.
 - providing you with complete responses to all enquiries made by you during the engagement.
 - providing you with additional information that you may request from us for the purpose of the engagement.
 - providing you with unrestricted access to persons within the Entity from whom you determined it necessary to obtain audit evidence.
 - such internal control as we determined is necessary to enable the preparation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error. We also acknowledge and understand that we are responsible for the design, implementation and maintenance of internal control to prevent and detect fraud.

- ensuring that all transactions have been recorded in the accounting records and are reflected in the financial statements.
- ensuring that internal auditors providing direct assistance to you, if any, were instructed to follow your instructions and that we, and others within the entity, did not intervene in the work the internal auditors performed for you.

Internal control over financial reporting:

- 2) We have communicated to you all deficiencies in the design and implementation or maintenance of internal control over financial reporting of which we are aware.

Fraud & non-compliance with laws and regulations:

- 3) We have disclosed to you:
- the results of our assessment of the risk that the financial statements may be materially misstated as a result of fraud.
 - all information in relation to fraud or suspected fraud that we are aware of that involves:
 - management;
 - employees who have significant roles in internal control over financial reporting; or
 - others where such fraud or suspected fraud could have a material effect on the financial statements.
 - all information in relation to allegations of fraud, or suspected fraud, affecting the financial statements, communicated by employees, former employees, analysts, regulators, short sellers, or others.
 - all known instances of non-compliance or suspected non-compliance with laws and regulations, including all aspects of contractual agreements or illegal acts, whose effects should be considered when preparing financial statements.
 - all known actual or possible litigation and claims whose effects should be considered when preparing the financial statements.

Subsequent events:

- 4) All events subsequent to the date of the financial statements and for which the relevant financial reporting framework requires adjustment, or disclosure, in the financial statements have been adjusted or disclosed.

Related parties:

- 5) We have disclosed to you the identity of the Entity's related parties.
- 6) We have disclosed to you all the related party relationships and transactions/balances of which we are aware.
- 7) All related party relationships and transactions/balances have been appropriately accounted for, and disclosed, in accordance with the relevant financial reporting framework.

Estimates:

- 8) The methods, the data and the significant assumptions used in making accounting estimates, and their related disclosures are appropriate to achieve recognition, measurement or disclosure that is reasonable in the context of the applicable financial reporting framework.

Misstatements:

- 9) We approve the corrected misstatements identified by you during the audit described in [Attachment II](#)

Going concern:

- 10) We have provided you with all information relevant to the use of the going concern assumption in the financial statements.
- 11) We confirm that we are not aware of material uncertainties related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt upon the Entity's ability to continue as a going concern.

Non-SEC registrants or non-reporting issuers:

- 12) We confirm that the Entity is not a Canadian reporting issuer (as defined under any applicable Canadian securities act) and is not a United States Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") Issuer (as defined by the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002).
- 13) We also confirm that the financial statements of the Entity will not be included in the group financial statements of a Canadian reporting issuer audited by KPMG or an SEC Issuer audited by any member of the KPMG organization.

Yours very truly,

Mr. Dale Langlois, Director of Finance/Treasurer

Mr. Gaetano Ferraro, Manager of Finance/Deputy Treasurer

Attachment I – Definitions

Materiality

Certain representations in this letter are described as being limited to matters that are material.

Information is material if omitting, misstating or obscuring it could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Judgments about materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances, and are affected by perception of the needs of, or the characteristics of, the users of the financial statements and, the size or nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both while also considering the entity's own circumstances.

Fraud & error

Fraudulent financial reporting involves intentional misstatements including omissions of amounts or disclosures in financial statements to deceive financial statement users.

Misappropriation of assets involves the theft of an entity's assets. It is often accompanied by false or misleading records or documents in order to conceal the fact that the assets are missing or have been pledged without proper authorization.

An error is an unintentional misstatement in financial statements, including the omission of an amount or a disclosure.

Attachment II – Summary of Audit Misstatements and Schedules

F2025 Corrected Misstatements

	Annual surplus effect	Financial position		
Description	(Decrease) Increase	Assets (Decrease) Increase	Liabilities (Decrease) Increase	Accumulated surplus (Decrease) Increase
To adjust depreciation for assets transferred from WIP that were fully depreciated during the year	353,461	353,461	-	353,461
Total corrected misstatements	353,461	353,461	-	353,461

F2025 Corrected Disclosure Misstatements

- Reclassification of interest expense on Fire Station 2 from Salaries, wages and benefits to administrative expenses within the Segmented Schedule, in the amount of \$198,450



Appendix D: Changes in accounting standards

Accounting standards

Conceptual Framework for Financial Reporting in the Public Sector

Effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2026 with early adoption permitted.

- The framework provides the core concepts and objectives underlying Canadian public sector accounting standards.
- The ten chapter conceptual framework defines and elaborates on the characteristics of public sector entities and their financial reporting objectives. Additional information is provided about financial statement objectives, qualitative characteristics and elements. General recognition and measurement criteria, and presentation concepts are introduced.

Financial Statement Presentation

Effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2026 with early adoption permitted.

- The proposed section PS 1202 *Financial statement presentation* will replace the current section PS 1201 *Financial statement presentation*.
- The proposed section includes the following:
 - Relocation of the net debt indicator to its own statement called the statement of net financial assets/liabilities, with the calculation of net debt refined to ensure its original meaning is retained.
 - Separating liabilities into financial liabilities and non-financial liabilities.
 - Restructuring the statement of financial position to present total assets followed by total liabilities.
 - Changes to common terminology used in the financial statements, including re-naming accumulated surplus (deficit) to net assets (liabilities).
 - Removal of the statement of remeasurement gains (losses) with the information instead included on a new statement called the statement of changes in net assets (liabilities). This new statement would present the changes in each component of net assets (liabilities), including a new component called “accumulated other”.
 - A new provision whereby an entity can use an amended budget in certain circumstances.
 - Inclusion of disclosures related to risks and uncertainties that could affect the entity's financial position.

Example of a Typical Implementation Approach

Phase 1

- Understand the existing financial reporting processes.
- Examine chart of accounts and trial balance.
- Review accounting policy.
- Gap assessment and implementation plan.

Phase 2

- Data gathering and financial data analysis.
- Budget and performance reporting.
- System and software impacts.
- Implementation and compliance adjustments



Appendix D: Changes in accounting standards(continued)

Employee Benefits

Effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2029 with early adoption permitted.

- The Public Sector Accounting Board has issued a new standard PS 3251 *Employee benefits* which will replace the current sections PS 3250 *Retirement benefits* and PS 3255 *Post-employment benefits, compensated absences and termination benefits*.
- The standard uses principles from International Public Sector Accounting Standard 39 *Employee benefits* as a basis for the Canadian standard.
- The standard results in public sector entities recognizing the impact of revaluations of the net defined benefit liability (asset) immediately on the statement of financial position.
- The standard also requires that fully funded post-employment benefit plans use a discount rate based on the expected market-based return of plan assets and underfunded plans use a discount rate based on the market yield of government bonds, high-quality corporate bonds or another appropriate financial instrument. A simplified approach to determining a plan's funding status is provided.
- The standard also requires that:
 - Deferral provisions – Remeasurement gains and losses are presented as part of accumulated remeasurement gains and losses.
 - Valuation of plan assets – Upon adoption, public sector entities may continue to recognize non-transferable financial instruments balances that meet the definition of plan assets under existing PS 3250 guidance. This transitional provision does not permit the recognition of additional amount after adoption that do not meet the revised definition of plan assets.
 - Joint defined benefit plans – Defined benefit accounting is used for measurement of the proportionate share of the plan, instead of previously proposed multi-employer plan accounting which permitted accounting based on defined contribution concepts where insufficient information exists to use defined benefit accounting.
 - Disclosure of other long-term employee benefits and termination benefits – The standard does not include prescriptive disclosure requirements for other long-term employee benefits and termination benefits.
- The standard's guidance will be applied retroactively, with or without prior period restatement.



Appendix D: Changes in accounting standards(continued)

Intangible Assets

Proposed to be effective for years commencing on or after April 1, 2030 with early adoption permitted.

- The Public Sector Accounting Standards Board has issued proposed new standard PS 3155 *Intangible Assets* which would replace Public Sector Guideline 8 *Purchased Intangibles*.
- The standard will include foundational guidance on acquired and internally generated intangibles. It excludes intangible assets addressed in other public sector accounting standards and other intangible items such as exploration and extraction costs for non-renewable resources or intangible assets related to insurance contracts.
- The definition of “intangible assets” requires an intangible resource to be separate and identifiable from goodwill. It also requires that the entity has control over the intangible resource, future economic benefits flow from the intangible resource, and the intangible resource is the result of a past transaction and/or other events.
- Internally generated goodwill is not permitted to be recognized as an asset.
- An intangible resource is recognized when it meets the definition of an intangible asset and the asset’s cost can be measured in a faithfully representative way. The generation of the asset is classified into a research phase and a development phase. Expenditures from the research phase of an internally generated project are expensed. An intangible asset arising from the development phase can be recognized if it meets certain requirements.
- Intangible assets are initially measured at cost and subsequently carried at cost less accumulated amortization and accumulated impairment losses. Intangible assets acquired through a non-exchange transaction are measured at fair value as of the date it is acquired.

Cloud Computing Arrangements

- As part of its intangible assets project, the Public Sector Accounting Standards Board is also developing guidance on cloud computing arrangements. To ensure the development of this accounting guidance reflects current practices and needs, a survey was used to gather insights. The survey will inform the Public Sector Accounting Board about the types of cloud computing arrangements being encountered, magnitude of costs, key arrangement terms, current accounting policies and unique challenges in practice.



Appendix E: Audit and assurance insights

Our latest thinking on the issues that matter most to Audit Committees, board of directors and management.

KPMG Audit & Assurance Insights

Curated research and insights for audit committees and boards.

Board Leadership Centre

Leading insights to help board members maximize boardroom opportunities

Accelerate - The key issues driving the audit committee agenda

Discover the most pressing risks and opportunities that face audit committees, boards and management teams.

Sustainability Reporting


Resource centre on implementing the new Canadian reporting standards

Government and Public Sector Insights

Navigating the contentious issues disrupting all government and public sector organizations requires the steady hand of a trusted guide.

Audit Committee Guide – Canadian Edition

A practical guide providing insight into current challenges and leading practices shaping audit committee effectiveness in Canada.





Appendix E: Thought leadership and insights

Half of Public Servants Turn to AI Raising Risks

KPMG survey finds public sector unready for AI, low literacy, need for digital sovereignty.

While less than a quarter (**22 per cent**) of Canadian public sector organizations have adopted artificial intelligence (AI), half of the public servants who use AI in their jobs rely on publicly available AI tools, exposing governments to potential risks including data privacy and security breaches, intellectual property theft and exposure to biased or inaccurate information that can lead to legal and ethical issues, finds a new KPMG in Canada research.

[Click here for more information](#)

Intelligent Government

The emergence of generative AI, alongside advanced autonomous and agentic systems, is transforming how government and the public sector innovate and operate. Our findings reveal an actionable blueprint for governments and organizations aiming to leverage AI's potential to drive efficiency, reduce time-to-market and improve patient outcomes. This report provides insights into how they can take a value-based approach to AI that helps to accelerate innovation, unlock new growth opportunities, and maximize the impact of their AI investments.

[Click here for more information](#)

KPMG 2025 Canadian CEO Outlook

Our 2025 CEO Outlook survey reveals a compelling paradox: Canadian CEOs are increasingly confident in the growth of their companies and industries, yet their optimism about the Canadian and global economies is waning.

Despite the evolving pressures, CEOs alike are proactively focusing on making their organizations more resilient to external shocks. They are not just reacting to changes, but are actively seeking ways to mitigate external risks, improve productivity and optimize revenue.

[Click here for more information](#)

Midyear Observations on the 2025 Board Agenda

Disruption, volatility, and uncertainty aren't new operating conditions by any means. But the assumptions that have long driven corporate thinking - the role of government, geopolitical norms, and consistency in US policies as administrations change, and the speed of technological advances—are being upended. Few business leaders have experienced the scope, complexity, and combination of issues companies are facing today—and many will earn their stripes in the months ahead.

[Click here for more information](#)

Accelerate

The Canadian business landscape is experiencing profound interconnected geopolitical, technological and sustainability challenges which are causing compound impacts on the balance sheet. The audit committee's role in stewarding their organization towards increased productivity, resilience, and growth has never been more essential.

Our *Accelerate* series strives to provide audit committees with actionable insights and clear guidance for navigating through these interconnected challenges.

[Click here for more information](#)



Appendix F: Continuous evolution

Our investment:

We are in the midst of a five-year investment to develop our people, digital capabilities, and advanced technology.

Responsive delivery model

Tailored to you to drive impactful outcomes around the quality and effectiveness of our audits.

Result: A better experience


Enhanced quality, reduced disruption, increased focus on areas of higher risk, and deeper insights into your business.





Appendix F: KPMG Clara Generative AI

With our global alliance partner Microsoft, we have embarked on a journey to embed Generative AI into our smart audit platform—KPMG Clara. This will make our auditors more productive and give them the tools to provide quicker feedback, make more insightful connections, and deliver a better audit experience.



AI done right

Although early adoption is key, we are focused on avoiding reliance on a 'black box' so we're building 'explainability' and 'traceability' at the core.



Bolstered productivity

Focused on removing time-consuming low value tasks, we'll apply our skills in other, more judgmental areas or in order to give insights to you.



Quality at our fingertips

We are teaching our model with our knowledge databases to capture our vast experience. This means quality information accessible in seconds.



Secure integration

KPMG Clara has been built on a solid and secure Azure Cloud backbone, allowing us to easily integrate Generative AI in partnership with Microsoft.



<https://kpmg.com/ca/en/home.html>

© 2025 KPMG LLP, an Ontario limited liability partnership and a member firm of the KPMG global organization of independent member firms affiliated with KPMG International Limited, a private English company limited by guarantee. All rights reserved. The KPMG name and logo are trademarks used under license by the independent member firms of the KPMG global organization.

